1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	X
4	ELCHONON SCHWARTZ, : 19-CV-01834 (ALC)
5	Plaintiff,
6	v. : 500 Pearl Street
7	TRANS UNION, LLC, : New York, New York
8	Defendant. : May 16, 2019
9	A
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ONA T. WANG UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	ONTIED STATES MAGISTRATE GODGE
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Plaintiff: URI HOROWITZ, ESQ.
15	Horowitz Law PLLC  14441 70 <sup>th</sup> Road
16	Flushing, New York 11367
17	For the Defendant: CAMILLE R. NICODEMUS, ESQ. Schuckit & Associates, PC
18	4545 Northwestern Drive Zionsville, Indiana 46077
19	,
20	Court Transcriber: MARY GRECO TypeWrite Word Processing Service 211 N. Milton Road Saratoga Springs, New York 12866
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
2
              MR. HOROWITZ: Uri Horowitz for the plaintiff.
 1
              THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Horowitz.
 2
              MR. HOROWITZ: Good afternoon.
 3
              THE COURT: And on the phone we have?
 4
                              This is Camille Nicodemus
 5
              MS. NICODEMUS:
 6
    representing Trans Union, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Good afternoon, Ms. Nicodemus.
 8
              MS. NICODEMUS:
                              Good afternoon.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. We here for an initial case
10
    management conference. I have the parties' 26(f) report.
    Before I ask you some questions about your proposed discovery
11
    plan, Mr. Horowitz, could you please tell me a little bit about
12
13
    the case?
14
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             Sure. My client, Mr. Schwartz, has
15
    excellent credit and specifically with the trade line in
               The trade line in question has a late payment
16
17
    showing, only one late payment on the entire trade line and
18
    that's for January of 2015. The late payment is showing.
    client had sent over a dispute letter to the defendant on the
19
           The defendant just verified it. But as we alleged,
20
21
    defendant did not properly investigate and see that in fact the
22
    late payment being reported was actually incorrect. As such,
    we feel that Trans Union has violated the FCRA.
23
24
              THE COURT:
                          And what are the damages that plaintiff
25
    would be seeking? Are they monetary damages?
```

```
3
              MR. HOROWITZ: Correct. Yes.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: And what?
              MR. HOROWITZ: The monetary damages are both
 3
    statutory under the FCRA under 1,000 under the FCRA for
 4
 5
    statutory damage and then actual damages as well.
 6
              THE COURT: And so statutory damages are up to 1,000.
 7
              MR. HOROWITZ: Up to $1,000.
 8
              THE COURT: And actual damages on top of that or --
 9
              MR. HOROWITZ: Yes. No, on top of that.
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
              MR. HOROWITZ: Actual and punitive as well if you can
12
    find that there's a what's called violation, a willful
13
    violation.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Now what happened after -- so
15
    there is -- I'm just trying to understand the time frame.
16
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             Sure.
17
              THE COURT: After the allegedly late payment in
18
    January 2015 -- was there a late payment or not? I guess let's
19
    start there.
              MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, I mean no. We say there was not.
20
21
    We say that there was no late payment in January.
22
              THE COURT:
                          There was no late payment.
23
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             Right.
24
              THE COURT: They just escalated it with Trans Union.
25
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             Correct.
```

```
4
              THE COURT: You're alleging that Trans Union failed
1
 2
    to investigate.
 3
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             Correct.
              THE COURT: How long did that go on and what happened
 4
    after that?
 5
 6
              MR. HOROWITZ: So my client had sent over multiple
 7
    disputes over to Trans Union. Each time Trans Union just
 8
    verified and said the account is reporting in the correct way,
    accurately. So the only recourse my client had was to file
 9
10
    suit or to reach out to an attorney and file suit.
11
              THE COURT: Wait. So there was never any correction?
12
    So --
13
              MR. HOROWITZ: Never any correction.
14
              THE COURT:
                          So this is still apparently reporting --
15
              MR. HOROWITZ: Still ongoing. I don't know right now
   but when we filed it was, it was still ongoing.
16
17
              MS. NICODEMUS: May I jump in on behalf of Trans
18
    Union to clarify, Your Honor?
19
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
20
              MS. NICODEMUS: Okay. Before we even get to the
21
    question of Trans Union's reasonable reinvestigation and
22
    whether it satisfied the FCRA and whether plaintiff has any
23
    damages, it is the plaintiff's burden in the first instance to
24
    establish an inaccuracy. In other words, what was reported was
25
    in fact inaccurate. That's plaintiff's burden. And this is
```

5 plaintiff's credit card account with American Express. 1 chose not to sue American Express, bring American Express into 2 the case where we might have some documents from American 3 Instead, he just --4 Express. Sorry, sorry, Ms. Nicodemus, can you slow 5 THE COURT: down a little bit because through the speakerphone it's hard to 6 make out your words. 7 8 MS. NICODEMUS: Absolutely, Your Honor. So it is plaintiff's burden to establish an inaccuracy 9 10 in the first instance and this is plaintiff's account with American Express. And I've asked plaintiff's counsel to just 11 12 simply provide me with a copy of the tracks or the statements 13 or some evidence that in fact the payment was timely made and 14 he has not done that. I would assume that would have been 15 something that plaintiff's counsel would have done before filing the complaint since proof of an inaccuracy is 16 plaintiff's burden and failure to do so is a complete defense 17 18 to Trans Union. In any event, Trans Union did, each time that 19 plaintiff complained about this account, did in fact contact 20 21 American Express and each time American Express did tell Trans 22 Union that in fact that payment was made late and was 23 accurately reporting. So it's very difficult for us to 24 consider resolving this case unless and until plaintiff can 25 establish an inaccuracy. And we've been in this situation

```
6
   before. We have had some judges that were willing to issue an
1
 2
    order asking plaintiff to provide proof of the inaccuracy or
    dismiss the case. In the alternative, to allow Trans Union to
 3
    file an early motion for summary judgment to dismiss it. But
 4
    it's very difficult to proceed when plaintiff has not
 5
    established that he has in fact an inaccurate item on his
 6
 7
    report. We are entitled under the statute to rely on the
 8
    furnisher's verification. American Express has the records
 9
    showing that this payment was late and that's what they've told
10
    us on ten separate occasions.
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Horowitz, why haven't you
11
12
    provided that?
13
              MR. HOROWITZ: So my client did not have it.
14
    obviously the account or the late payment is from January 2015,
15
    so my client doesn't have any records. Obviously, once we go
    further in the case, we'll go ahead and subpoena the records
16
17
    from American Express.
18
              THE COURT: What do you mean he doesn't have the
    records?
19
              MR. HOROWITZ: He doesn't have them. He's not able
20
21
    to access them.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Why?
23
              MR. HOROWITZ: I don't know. He's just not able to
24
    access the credit card records. Maybe they do not go all the
25
    way till what's it called, all the way back until 2015.
```

```
7
              THE COURT: So but as his lawyer you're required to
1
2
    do at least some amount of investigation to understand --
 3
              MR. HOROWITZ: Understood, but --
              THE COURT: -- the merits of the case before you
 4
5
   bring it, right?
 6
              MR. HOROWITZ:
 7
              THE COURT: And it certainly wouldn't be in your
 8
    interest to pursue a case if you couldn't get your client even
   past the first hurdle. I guess I'm trying to understand why
 9
10
    you would continue to litigate something that concerns an
    alleged late payment from four years ago without knowing that
11
12
    this is your burden to prove and not having that in hand.
13
              MR. HOROWITZ: So my client had told me that he
14
    was -- that he made that payment on time.
                                               There was no late
15
              I could see from the rest of his credit history that
    he is the type of consumer that always pays on time. All of
16
17
    his other trade lines on his credit report, including the one
18
    that's in question, the American express trade line, the
    account has been paid every single month on time. The only
19
20
    month that was not paid according to Trans Union timely was the
21
    one that's in question here. So I spoke to my client.
22
    client was unable to -- my client's unable to produce the
23
    records right now. Right?
                                They're unable to produce the
24
    records. However, just based upon his credit history we
25
    determined -- and again, we tried to -- my client had tried to
```

8 go ahead and dispute it with Trans Union over multiple times. 1 2 Trans Union just verified it each time. I would like to go further in this case to see how Trans Union have actually, have 3 verified it. I'm assuming when they receive multiple disputes 4 5 from one consumer, right, and they see that the same issue keeps on coming over and over, I would expect Trans Union to do 6 7 a further investigation to see whether or not this item is 8 reporting accurately as opposed to just going to the furnisher, to American Express, and just saying is this accurate? And 9 10 they respond it is accurate. Right? If they continue to do that over and over each time, nothing is going to change. So I 11 12 would expect Trans Union to somehow to in some way to go ahead 13 once they receive multiple disputes to go ahead and escalate 14 So as part of our discovery against Trans Union we will 15 look what exactly are their policies and procedures as to when 16 a consumer sends over a dispute. How do they verify that's 17 accurate? Is it simply someone sitting at a computer, they 18 send it to American Express and American Express simply responds saying that this is reporting accurately? 19 20 I will also say as part of my client's dispute, my 21 client had told Trans Union that when looking at the other two 22

I will also say as part of my client's dispute, my client had told Trans Union that when looking at the other two credit reporting agencies, the other two credit reporting agencies were not in fact showing he was late in making the payment in 2015. So my client told Trans Union that he would -- that the other bureaus were not reporting that, it was

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 only Trans Union. So at that point I would expect once again Trans Union to make a further investigation and figure out whether or not this is reporting in the correct way. MS. NICODEMUS: I'm sorry, if I may just jump in, I having a little bit of trouble following plaintiff's counsel, but it seems to me the gist of what he's saying is that this is an issue as to Trans Union's procedures and whether their investigation was sufficient under the circumstances. But that's just putting the cart before the horse. This lawsuit should be dismissed. He should withdraw this lawsuit if he cannot establish that his client made a timely payment and that this is inaccurate. And it's just -- I don't really understand what he expects Trans Union to do under these circumstances when there's simply no evidence whatsoever that his client timely made this payment. American Express is saying that he paid it late. They have the business relationship with the plaintiff. And I don't understand how he filed a lawsuit and puts the burden on Trans Union when he's the one that's alleging that he made the timely payment. If he knows he made the payment on time, then surely he has his own banking records, his own checkbook, a canceled check, a copy of a statement. And he can obtain those from American Express to establish. And then we'll be more than happy to talk about

settling the case. But until then, I think, you know, I think

that he should -- if he cannot prove this, if he has made every

10 attempt to find out whether or not in fact he can establish the 1 2 first required element of his claim, then I think he should withdraw the lawsuit. 3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Horowitz, how do you want 4 5 to proceed? 6 MR. HOROWITZ: I want to proceed on the case. 7 to do discovery on the case. I would like to get records 8 obviously from the furnisher, American express. I'd like to see what the policies and procedures are, how Trans Union when 9 10 they received the multiple disputes from my client, how they respond to those disputes. What are the policies when my 11 12 client disputes and tells them look, the other two credit 13 reporting agencies are not showing this account with the late 14 payment in 2015. Only Trans Union is doing at. 15 there is some problem there. All right? So the policies and procedures by just sending this dispute over to the furnisher 16 17 and having them verify obviously after multiple times, this was 18 not something that was helping my client. All right. Well, so where are we with 19 THE COURT: initial disclosures? And Mr. Horowitz --20 21 MR. HOROWITZ: Yes. 22 THE COURT: -- this is going to come to a head very 23 soon because initial disclosures would anticipate that you be 24 providing proof and evidence that the payment was timely, that 25 there was a timely payment made. So let's look at the dates.

```
11
    So I see the initial disclosures were already exchanged on May
1
    10<sup>th</sup>.
 2
          Is that right?
              MR. HOROWITZ: Yes.
 3
              MS. NICODEMUS: We got them from plaintiff's counsel
 4
    this morning but yes, we have them and they do not provide any
 5
    evidence whatsoever of an inaccuracy. And we'd like to ask the
 6
 7
    Court to issue an order requiring plaintiff to provide that or
 8
    withdraw the case.
              THE COURT: Okay. I am going to -- let's see, and by
 9
    May 31<sup>st</sup> the parties are to produce their initial relevant
10
11
    documents. Mr. Horowitz, is that going to include timely
12
    payments?
13
              MR. HOROWITZ: Well, not until I subpoena American --
    until I subpoena the furnisher to try to get the records.
14
15
              THE COURT: I guess I'm just still not understanding
    how your client cannot have one shred of evidence whether
16
17
    electronic or paper --
18
              MR. HOROWITZ: I'll go back to my client --
19
              THE COURT: -- to indicate that there was a timely
20
    payment made.
21
              MR. HOROWITZ: I'll go back to my client. As of now
22
    we do not have it. But again, Your Honor, I think --
23
              MS. NICODEMUS: Mr. Horowitz, are you saying you're
24
    going to go back to your client --
25
              MR. HOROWITZ: -- I think part of it --
```

```
12
              MS. NICODEMUS: -- because I just want to --
1
 2
              MR. HOROWITZ: Excuse me?
              MS. NICODEMUS: -- reiterate that the complaint was
 3
    filed 90 days ago and I've asked you three times since the
 4
    complaint was filed to provide us with that evidence and then
5
    we would be happy to talk about --
 6
 7
              MR. HOROWITZ: But again, Your Honor, I think part of
 8
    it is the fact that --
 9
              MS. NICODEMUS: -- [inaudible] my client is --
10
              THE COURT:
                          Stop, stop. This is part of the problem
    with having telephone conferences. Another issue, Mr.
11
12
    Horowitz, is your client interested in settlement at all?
13
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             Yes.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. If we're going to move towards an
15
    earlier or quicker settlement conference which would ideally be
    in your client's interest, that is discovery that I would
16
17
    direct to be provided. And if your client is not in possession
18
    of any of those documents that would be sufficient to show that
    a timely payment was made, I have to tell you your case is in
19
    serious trouble. Okay? I don't think it should have to go to
20
21
    subpoenaing American Express. You know, I mean as a litigant
22
    in this court, or any court for that matter, and particularly
23
    as a plaintiff, before you bring a case, if you have relevant
24
    documents you should not be destroying them. So for you to sit
25
   here today and tell me that he does not have records or has not
```

retained records relating to that January 2015 incident when you are telling me that he has also escalated it with Trans
Union at least ten times, that's a little concerning. I mean that starts to sound like spoliation and I'm really concerned about that.

MR. HOROWITZ: I don't think my client when he originally had the records he was anticipating he would so. My client had an account in 2015 --

THE COURT: Stop. But you're telling me that at some point in time that he escalated this with Trans Union allegedly ten times, received no resolution, and then sometime in the intervening four years destroyed all of his records and then decided to sue.

MR. HOROWITZ: I don't think that's exactly how the events transpired. When people get their credit card records they don't necessarily hold them, hold onto the credit records, the credit cards receipts. Right? You get the credit card, you pay it. Right? And then you move on. Right? Then what happened was my client saw his credit report, his Trans Union report and he noticed that there was this month in 2015 that was reporting that he did not make the payment on time.

Obviously, that hurts his credit score. Right? That affects him. So he wanted to remove that. So as part of trying to get that removed so his credit score improves, he sent a dispute to Trans Union. And mind you, it was only on Trans Union. It

```
14
   wasn't on the other two bureaus.
1
 2
              THE COURT: Stop. Okay. When did he send the
 3
   dispute to Trans Union? And what does that involve, a phone
   call?
 4
 5
              MR. HOROWITZ: No.
                                 You could send a letter, you
 6
   could do it online, a letter.
 7
              THE COURT: Well, there should presumably be some
 8
   records related to that.
 9
             MR. HOROWITZ: Yes. And Trans Union presumably is
10
   going to supply all the records, what they received and when
11
    they received them all.
12
              THE COURT: I'm having a problem with the very, very
13
    first step which is was the payment late or not?
14
              MR. HOROWITZ: It was. I mean it was not.
                                                          Excuse
15
   me.
              THE COURT: All right. If this case is going to go
16
17
    to an earlier settlement conference, what early discovery would
18
   either side need?
19
              MR. HOROWITZ: So what I would require is all the
    records from the defendant, how many disputes they received,
20
21
   when they received them, how they responded to them, how they
22
   responded to the disputes.
23
              THE COURT: Wait, wait. No, no, no, no,
24
        When I talk about early discovery in aid of settlement,
25
    I'm talking about information that is uniquely in the
```

15 possession of one party or the other, not wholesale give me all 1 2 you've got, I'll give you all I've got. Okay? Early settlement is what are some factual, some basic factual 3 disputes that ought to be resolved by documents and information 4 in the unique custody of one or the other party that if 5 exchanged would lead to a change in the position between the 6 7 parties? Okay? And Ms. Nicodemus, you'll get a chance to 8 speak because I'm headed your way next. But what do you need from Trans Union that your client should not already be in 9 10 possession of that would change your position? MR. HOROWITZ: So what we always look for these type 11 of cases, what Trans Union has, it's called an ACDV. An ACDV 12 13 is what Trans Union, when they send over to the furnisher. 14 I would like to see those ACDVs, how they communicated, what was the communication between Trans Union and the furnisher. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And this is a question for Mr. 16 17 Horowitz. And presumably if each time Trans -- if Trans Union 18 shows that they reached out to the furnisher and each time Amex responded saying this is a late payment, then where is your 19 20 beef with Trans Union? Isn't your argument with American 21 Express at this point? 22 MR. HOROWITZ: No because again, what are their 23 Again, that's getting a little further in the case policies? 24 but I want to know then what their policies and procedures are 25 when my client continually sends over a dispute and he's saying

```
16
    to Trans Union that only you're reporting the month of 2015,
1
    January 2015 as being late as opposed to the other two bureaus.
 2
    What are their policies? Why are they not looking further into
 3
    it? Why are they just relying on the furnisher if the consumer
 4
    is saying that the furnisher is not reporting it in the correct
 5
    way, right? And they --
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Well again, but that goes back to if at
 8
    that point your client is saying well the furnisher is not
 9
    reporting it in the correct way, what do you go back to?
10
              MR. HOROWITZ: We go back to the --
11
              THE COURT: American Express, right?
12
              MR. HOROWITZ:
                             To Trans --
13
              THE COURT: You're not reporting -- American Express,
14
    I have a credit card with you. You're not reporting --
15
              MR. HOROWITZ: But there has to be some liability and
16
    responsibility on Trans Union as well. Trans Union can't just
17
    say if we would provide them -- let's say my client had sent
18
    over a dispute and in the dispute they went ahead and they sent
    over to Trans Union, they would send over proof that my client
19
20
    had made this on time, right, had made this payment on time,
21
    right? So then Trans Union, even though the furnisher American
22
    Express would have said that the account is reporting the
23
    correct way, then for sure Trans Union, how can they rely on
24
    American Express? I provided Trans Union with the correction
25
    information to show that the furnisher is not reporting in the
```

17 correct way. So my claim, our claim, is that once Trans Union 1 2 received the dispute from the consumer and the consumer constantly told Trans Union it is not reporting the correct 3 way, a further investigation has to be completed on the part of 4 Trans Union. 5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Nicodemus? 6 7 MS. NICODEMUS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Just at 8 the outset, and I understand again we're talking about discovery in aid of settlement, plaintiff has, you know, 9 10 reached out on settlement and my client is unwilling to discuss settlement without proof of an inaccuracy. If there isn't 11 12 proof, then they intend to litigate this case and ultimately to 13 seek sanctions against the plaintiff for bringing this lawsuit. 14 In any event, we're more than happy to turn over the following 15 documents which would include plaintiff's dispute letters to Trans Union, which didn't include anything other than his say 16 17 so, his word that the payment was timely made. No evidence 18 whatsoever, as well as the ACDVs that plaintiff's counsel referred to. Those are computer related documents that show 19 20 the correspondence between Trans Union and the furnisher's 21 statement that in fact the payment was late and was accurate. 22 We're happy to turn those over. We're not going to turn 23 anything over that involves policies or broader documents like 24 They're not relevant. But what I would like from 25 plaintiff is two things. One, proof that the payment was

```
18
    timely made. In other words, proof of the inaccuracy,
1
 2
    something other than what we have now which is merely
   plaintiff's letter stating that. So we'd like that as well as
 3
    any evidence of damages and those would include things like a
 4
    credit denial letter that specifically states that credit was
 5
    denied based on a Trans Union credit report. And I think
 6
 7
    there's no reason we can't exchange those documents, you know,
 8
   by the 5/31 deadline. And I'd like plaintiff's representation
    that if he doesn't have those documents that he will withdraw
 9
10
    the case. If he does have those documents, then we're more
11
    than happy to resolve this and we may not even need the Court's
12
    assistance in the settlement conference although we're more
13
    than happy to cooperate in one.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Can you turn those
    documents over by May 31st, Mr. Horowitz?
15
              MR. HOROWITZ: Again, I'm not sure if I'll have the
16
    proof of a timely payment by May 31st.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          Then how much time do you need?
19
              MR. HOROWITZ: Today's 5/16. I don't have a date set
    right now. How about a month from 5/31?
20
21
              THE COURT:
                          No, not a month from 5/31.
22
              MR. HOROWITZ: A month from today?
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
24
              MR. HOROWITZ: Okay.
25
              THE COURT: And I'm very concerned that you are
```

19 representing right now that your client does not have in his 1 possession and custody or control -- I mean of course you're 2 going to go back and speak to your client --3 MR. HOROWITZ: Yes. 4 THE COURT: -- about it and really try to get proof 5 of those documents but I'm concerned that your client did not 6 7 receive documents that he should easily have before bringing 8 this case and whether that might constitute spoliation. I also want to remind you of your duties as an officer of the court 9 10 that if there is a claim that you cannot prove that you will act accordingly. I don't think any client is worth your 11 reputation and your integrity on the line for. 12 13 MR. HOROWITZ: I agree with that, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So now might be a good time to have a 15 long, hard talk with your client and see if they have any of the documents that Ms. Nicodemus referenced. 16 So four weeks from today is June 20th. All right? So 17 a written order will follow. Four weeks is June 13<sup>th</sup>? But you 18 know what, I'll give you -- so a month from today would be June 19 15<sup>th</sup>. I'll give you five weeks, okay? 20 21 MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. I appreciate that, Your 22 Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. A written order will follow but we do -- this conference is being recorded so you can also request 24 25 a transcript. A written order will follow but the parties are

20 to exchange documents by June 20th and then meet and confer on 1 2 how they want to proceed on this lawsuit. So defendant will provide the plaintiff plaintiff's dispute letters that he 3 submitted to Trans Union, the ACDVs. Plaintiff will turn over 4 to defendant proof that payment was timely made and any 5 evidence of damages, for example, a credit denial letter based 6 7 on whether credit was denied on the basis of Trans Union's 8 credit report. Okay? 9 MR. HOROWITZ: Okay. THE COURT: And then so that's June 20th. Oh wow, 10 wait. So then one week later by close of business on June 27th 11 the parties are to submit a joint letter indicating whether 12 13 they would prefer to proceed with discovery or would like to go 14 to a settlement conference. Okay? 15 MR. HOROWITZ: Okay. THE COURT: Ms. Nicodemus, you sound like you want to 16 17 say something. 18 MS. NICODEMUS: I just wanted -- yes, I appreciate that, Your Honor, and I think that sounds like a great way to 19 I just wanted to get a little clarification what our 20 21 options would be if in fact the plaintiff has not produced the 22 I know you said that, you know, he has certain documents. 23 obligations, that presumably he would be willing to withdraw at 24 that point. And if that does not occur, then presumably Trans 25 Union could file an early dispositive motion in order to

```
21
   prevent the expenditure of further fees in discovery and so on
1
 2
    on a case for which there's no foundation.
 3
              THE COURT: Right. You could also file a motion to
             I mean I'd advise you to stay in touch with Mr.
 4
   Horowitz because, you know, Mr. Horowitz, you got to get your
 5
    client --
 6
 7
              MR. HOROWITZ: Understood.
 8
              THE COURT: -- to give you what they have. And if it
    turns out that they can't prove that initial element of their
9
10
    claim, then it's not worth it for you as a lawyer, as their
11
    lawyer --
              MR. HOROWITZ: Understood.
12
13
              THE COURT: -- to pursue this case. Okay?
14
    advise you to stay in touch. That's why I am directing a joint
    letter on the 27<sup>th</sup>. Even if you're not able to agree on how you
15
    should proceed, then let the joint letter state each side's
16
17
    position. Okay?
18
              MS. NICODEMUS:
                              Great. Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19
              MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT:
                          Anything further?
22
                                   Thank you, Your Honor.
              MS. NICODEMUS:
                              No.
23
              MR. HOROWITZ: No thank you.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Mary Greco Mary Greco Dated: August 20, 2019